

| | |
|---|---------------------------|
| To: Dave Torbert, Schenkel Schultz Rick Alberts, ESA Matt Taylor, RERC Rob Brancheau, GOAA Brad Friel, GOAA | |
| From: Jamie Krzeminski, PE, PTOE | Project: East Airfield EA |
| CC: Jason McGlashan, HDR | |
| Date: October 7, 2008 | Job No: 85817 |

RE: East Airfield EA – Revised Development Program Trip Generation

Based on our meeting last week, HDR has made revisions to the trip generation calculations and roadway segment analysis for the East Airfield. Based on the traffic counts that were conducted and the exclusion of the Air Tran and Signature FBO uses, the computed trip generation rates for the two land use categories are as follows:

- o Category A: Daily = 7.23 trips/KSF PM Peak Hour = 0.42 trips/KSF (60% enter, 40% exit)
- o Category B: Daily = 9.50 trips/KSF PM Peak Hour = 0.50 trips/KSF (26% enter, 74% exit)

As discussed at the meeting, the actual measured **daily** rates are **higher** than the ITE blended rates we used previously, but the measured **PM peak** hour rates are **lower**. Using the measured rate has the effect of exceeding the daily trip transfer allowance for programs greater than 4 million square feet, but also decreases the number of significant and adverse roadway segments as compared to using the ITE rates (following the standard DRI transportation analysis).

Table 1 provides a summary of three development scenarios using the monitored trip generation rates as well as the ITE trip generation rates, as follows:

1. The maximum development, based on the program provided by RERC on October 3 totaling 6.1 million square feet.
2. A reduced development program to match the trip entitlements available for transfer (30,692 daily trips, including 12,984 trips from Tradeport and 17,708 trips from SE Development Area). This scenario assumes the 4,484 trips from the Mud Lake area are available for transfer.
3. A reduced development program to match the trip entitlements available for transfer without the Mud Lake trips (26,208 daily trips).

The primary findings are as follows:

- o Using the **monitored rates**, the current proposed development program leaves a deficit of approximately 16,000 daily trips above the maximum potential entitlement transfer. However, only 4 roadway segments are significantly and adversely impacted.
- o Using **ITE rates**, the same maximum development program would only have a deficit of approximately 3,100 daily trips above the potential trip entitlement transfer, but would have significant and adverse impacts to 21 roadway segments.
- o Using the **monitored rates**, the development program could be reduced to approximately 4 million square feet to match the potential trip entitlement transfer; this level of development would have a significant and adverse impact on 3 roadway segments.

- Using the **monitored rates**, the development program could be further reduced to approximately 3.42 million square feet to match the potential trip entitlement transfer if Mud Lake area trips are not transferred; this level of development would have a significant and adverse impact on only 2 roadway segments.
- If using the **ITE rates**, the development would have to be reduced to 5.5 million square feet to match the potential trip entitlements with Mud Lake trips included; however, this would have a significant and adverse impact on 19 roadway segments.
- With **ITE rates**, the development could be reduced to 4.64 million square feet to match the potential trip entitlements without the Mud Lake trips included; this would impact 15 roadway segments.

Based on our analysis, the use of the monitored rates versus the ITE rates has impacts on the amount of development that could be achieved to match the potential trip entitlements, as well as the number of off-site roadway segments that would be impacted and need mitigation. Using the monitored rates would allow for less development potential, but would have fewer off-site impacts, while the ITE rates would allow for more potential development, but would cause a much higher number of off-site impacts.

Please see the attached exhibits for more details on the trip generation and resulting roadway impacts, and contact Jason or me if you have questions.

Table 1 - Comparison of East Airfield Development Programs and Trip Generation using Monitored or ITE Rates

| Land Use Category | Using Monitored Trip Rates | | | | | | | Using ITE Trip Rates | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------|----------------------|-----------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------|
| | Size | Units | Trip Generation | | | | Sig & Adv Road Segments | Size | Units | Trip Generation | | | | Sig & Adv Road Segments |
| | | | Daily | PM Peak Hour | | | | | | Daily | PM Peak Hour | | | |
| | | | | Total | Enter | Exit | | | | | Total | Enter | Exit | |
| Scenario 1: Max Development Program | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Category A: Airport Support District | 4,955,246 | SF | 35,826 | 2,081 | 1,249 | 832 | | 4,955,246 | SF | 25,054 | 4,072 | 872 | 3,200 | |
| Category B: Airport Support Area | 1,145,550 | SF | 10,883 | 573 | 149 | 424 | | 1,145,550 | SF | 8,722 | 1,362 | 232 | 1,130 | |
| Total | 6,100,796 | SF | 46,709 | 2,654 | 1,398 | 1,256 | 4 | 6,100,796 | SF | 33,776 | 5,434 | 1,104 | 4,330 | 21 |
| Scenario 2: Match Trip Entitlements for Transfer (with Mud Lake Trips) = 30,692 Trips | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Category A: Airport Support District | 3,255,597 | SF | 23,538 | 1,367 | 820 | 547 | | 4,469,632 | SF | 22,620 | 3,667 | 788 | 2,879 | |
| Category B: Airport Support Area | 752,626 | SF | 7,150 | 376 | 98 | 278 | | 1,033,286 | SF | 8,056 | 1,236 | 210 | 1,026 | |
| Total | 4,008,223 | SF | 30,688 | 1,743 | 918 | 825 | 3 | 5,502,918 | SF | 30,676 | 4,903 | 998 | 3,905 | 19 |
| Scenario 3: Match Trip Entitlements for Transfer (without Mud Lake Trips) = 26,208 Trips | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Category A: Airport Support District | 2,779,893 | SF | 20,099 | 1,168 | 701 | 467 | | 3,770,942 | SF | 19,120 | 3,084 | 665 | 2,419 | |
| Category B: Airport Support Area | 642,654 | SF | 6,105 | 321 | 83 | 238 | | 871,764 | SF | 7,068 | 1,055 | 179 | 876 | |
| Total | 3,422,547 | SF | 26,204 | 1,489 | 784 | 705 | 2 | 4,642,706 | SF | 26,188 | 4,139 | 844 | 3,295 | 15 |

**Exhibit D - Proposed Max Development Program
ITE Trip Generation Rates**

**East Airfield Environmental Assessment
Project Trip Generation**

| Land Use | ITE Code | Trip Generation Rates/Equations ¹ | | PM Pk Hr | | Size | Units | Daily Trips | PM Peak Hour Trips | | |
|--------------------------------------|----------|--|--|----------|-------|------------------|-------|---------------|--------------------|--------------|--------------|
| | | Daily | PM Peak Hour | In | Out | | | | Total | Enter | Exit |
| Light Industrial ² | 110 | $T = 7.47 \cdot (X/1000) - 101.92$ | $T = 1.43 \cdot (X/1000) - 163.42$ | 12.0% | 88.0% | 1,651,749 | sf | 12,237 | 2,199 | 264 | 1,935 |
| Manufacturing ² | 140 | $T = 3.88 \cdot (X/1000) - 20.7$ | $T = 0.78 \cdot (X/1000) - 12.89$ | 36.0% | 64.0% | 1,651,749 | sf | 6,388 | 1,275 | 459 | 816 |
| Warehousing ² | 150 | $T = 3.68 \cdot (X/1000) + 350.27$ | $LN(T) = 0.79 \cdot LN(X/1000) + 0.54$ | 25.0% | 75.0% | 1,651,749 | sf | 6,429 | 598 | 150 | 449 |
| Office ³ | 710 | $LN(T) = 0.77 \cdot LN(X) + 3.65$ | $T = 1.12 \cdot (X) + 78.81$ | 17.0% | 83.0% | 1,145,550 | sf | 8,722 | 1,362 | 232 | 1,130 |
| Total Project Trip Generation | | | | | | 6,100,796 | sf | 33,776 | 5,434 | 1,104 | 4,330 |

¹ Trip generation rates based on ITE Trip Generation, 7th Edition.

² Airport Support District trip generation assumed to be comprised of equal proportions of light industrial, warehouse, manufacturing.

³ Airport Support Area trip generation based on office.

| | |
|--------|--------------------------|
| 30,692 | Trip Entitlements Avail. |
| 3,084 | Difference |

**Significant & Adverse Roadway Segments (2025)
Proportionate Share Summary**

| # | Roadway | Segment | # Lanes | Req Impr | Length | Prop Share | w/o E. Airfield Imprvmt Req |
|------|--------------------------|--|---------|----------|--------|------------|-----------------------------|
| 1 | Beachline Expressway | Orange Blossom Trl to Sand Lake Rd | 6LD | 8LD | 3.6 | 3.8% | YES |
| 2 | Beachline Expressway | Narcoossee Rd to Central FL Greenway | 8LD | 10LD | 2.1 | 31.0% | No |
| 3 | Beachline Expressway | Central FL Greenway to Innovation Way | 6LD | 8LD | 4.3 | 18.0% | YES |
| 4 | Central Florida Greenway | Beachline Expressway to Lee Vista Blvd | 6LD | 8LD | 1.9 | 20.6% | YES |
| 5 | Central Florida Greenway | Lee Vista Blvd to Curry Ford Rd | 6LD | 8LD | 2.3 | 19.7% | YES |
| 6 | Conway Rd | Hoffner Rd to Gatlin Ave | 4LD | 6LD | 1.0 | 18.1% | YES |
| 7 | Conway Rd | Gatlin Ave to Michigan St | 4LD | 6LD | 1.3 | 11.9% | YES |
| 8 | Curry Ford Road | Econlockhatchee Trail to Dean Rd | 4LD | 6LD | 1.1 | 30.4% | YES |
| 9 | Goldenrod Road | Curry Ford Rd to Pershing Ave | 4LD | 6LD | 1.2 | 18.4% | No |
| 10 | Goldenrod Road | Pershing Ave to Narcoossee Rd | 4LD | 6LD | 1.4 | 16.1% | YES |
| 11 | Innovation Way | Dowden Rd to Beachline Expressway | 4LD | 6LD | 5.9 | 19.6% | YES |
| 12 | Lee Vista Blvd | Conway Rd to Semoran Blvd | 4LD | 6LD | 1.3 | 3.7% | YES |
| 13 | Lee Vista Blvd | Semoran Blvd to Goldenrod Rd | 4LD | 6LD | 1.3 | 4.6% | YES |
| 14 | Michigan St | Crystal Lake Dr to Conway Rd | 2L | 4LD | 0.3 | 1.9% | YES |
| 15 | Narcoossee Road | Lee Vista Blvd to Beachline Expressway | 4LD | 6LD | 1.4 | 13.8% | YES |
| 16 | Narcoossee Road | Beachline Expressway to Dowden Rd | 4LD | 6LD | 1.2 | 31.3% | YES |
| 17 | Narcoossee Road | Dowden Rd to Lake Nona Blvd | 4LD | 6LD | 2.2 | 65.5% | YES |
| 18 | Narcoossee Road | Lake Nona Blvd to Central FL Greenway | 4LD | 6LD | 0.3 | 24.1% | YES |
| 19 | Narcoossee Road | Central FL Greenway to Tyson Rd | 4LD | 6LD | 1.6 | 24.1% | YES |
| 20 | Semoran Boulevard | Pershing Ave to Hoffner Ave | 6LD | 8LD | 1.3 | 42.8% | YES |
| 21 | Semoran Boulevard | Hoffner Ave to Beachline Expressway | 6LD | 8LD | 2.0 | 72.0% | YES |
| 9999 | | | | | | | |
| 9999 | | | | | | | |

Exhibit E - Match Trip Entitlements Available for Transfer (Assume Mud Lake Trips Available)
ITE Trip Generation Rates

East Airfield Environmental Assessment
Project Trip Generation

| Land Use | ITE Code | Trip Generation Rates/Equations ¹ | | PM Pk Hr | | Size | Units | Daily Trips | PM Peak Hour Trips | | |
|--------------------------------------|----------|--|--|----------|-------|------------------|-------|---------------|--------------------|------------|--------------|
| | | Daily | PM Peak Hour | In | Out | | | | Total | Enter | Exit |
| Light Industrial ² | 110 | $T = 7.47 \cdot (X/1000) - 101.92$ | $T = 1.43 \cdot (X/1000) - 163.42$ | 12.0% | 88.0% | 1,489,877 | sf | 11,027 | 1,967 | 236 | 1,731 |
| Manufacturing ² | 140 | $T = 3.88 \cdot (X/1000) - 20.7$ | $T = 0.78 \cdot (X/1000) - 12.89$ | 36.0% | 64.0% | 1,489,877 | sf | 5,760 | 1,149 | 414 | 735 |
| Warehousing ² | 150 | $T = 3.68 \cdot (X/1000) + 350.27$ | $LN(T) = 0.79 \cdot LN(X/1000) + 0.54$ | 25.0% | 75.0% | 1,489,877 | sf | 5,833 | 551 | 138 | 413 |
| Office ³ | 710 | $LN(T) = 0.77 \cdot LN(X) + 3.65$ | $T = 1.12 \cdot (X) + 78.81$ | 17.0% | 83.0% | 1,033,286 | sf | 8,056 | 1,236 | 210 | 1,026 |
| Total Project Trip Generation | | | | | | 5,502,918 | sf | 30,676 | 4,903 | 998 | 3,905 |

¹ Trip generation rates based on ITE Trip Generation, 7th Edition.

² Airport Support District trip generation assumed to be comprised of equal proportions of light industrial, warehouse, manufacturing.

³ Airport Support Area trip generation based on office.

| | |
|--------|--------------------------|
| 30,692 | Trip Entitlements Avail. |
| -16 | Difference |

Significant & Adverse Roadway Segments (2025)
Proportionate Share Summary

| # | Roadway | Segment | # Lanes | Req Impr | Length | Prop Share | w/o E. Airfield Imprvmt Req |
|------|--------------------------|--|---------|----------|--------|------------|-----------------------------|
| 9999 | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Beachline Expressway | Narcoossee Rd to Central FL Greenway | 8LD | 10LD | 2.1 | 28.0% | No |
| 2 | Beachline Expressway | Central FL Greenway to Innovation Way | 6LD | 8LD | 4.3 | 16.2% | YES |
| 3 | Central Florida Greenway | Beachline Expressway to Lee Vista Blvd | 6LD | 8LD | 1.9 | 18.6% | YES |
| 4 | Central Florida Greenway | Lee Vista Blvd to Curry Ford Rd | 6LD | 8LD | 2.3 | 17.7% | YES |
| 5 | Conway Rd | Hoffner Rd to Gatlin Ave | 4LD | 6LD | 1.0 | 16.4% | YES |
| 6 | Conway Rd | Gatlin Ave to Michigan St | 4LD | 6LD | 1.3 | 10.8% | YES |
| 7 | Curry Ford Road | Econlockhatchee Trail to Dean Rd | 4LD | 6LD | 1.1 | 27.3% | YES |
| 8 | Goldenrod Road | Pershing Ave to Narcoossee Rd | 4LD | 6LD | 1.4 | 14.5% | YES |
| 9 | Innovation Way | Dowden Rd to Beachline Expressway | 4LD | 6LD | 5.9 | 17.7% | YES |
| 10 | Lee Vista Blvd | Conway Rd to Semoran Blvd | 4LD | 6LD | 1.3 | 3.3% | YES |
| 11 | Lee Vista Blvd | Semoran Blvd to Goldenrod Rd | 4LD | 6LD | 1.3 | 4.1% | YES |
| 12 | Michigan St | Crystal Lake Dr to Conway Rd | 2L | 4LD | 0.3 | 1.7% | YES |
| 13 | Narcoossee Road | Lee Vista Blvd to Beachline Expressway | 4LD | 6LD | 1.4 | 12.5% | YES |
| 14 | Narcoossee Road | Beachline Expressway to Dowden Rd | 4LD | 6LD | 1.2 | 28.3% | YES |
| 15 | Narcoossee Road | Dowden Rd to Lake Nona Blvd | 4LD | 6LD | 2.2 | 59.0% | YES |
| 16 | Narcoossee Road | Lake Nona Blvd to Central FL Greenway | 4LD | 6LD | 0.3 | 21.7% | YES |
| 17 | Narcoossee Road | Central FL Greenway to Tyson Rd | 4LD | 6LD | 1.6 | 21.7% | YES |
| 18 | Semoran Boulevard | Pershing Ave to Hoffner Ave | 6LD | 8LD | 1.3 | 38.6% | YES |
| 19 | Semoran Boulevard | Hoffner Ave to Beachline Expressway | 6LD | 8LD | 2.0 | 64.9% | YES |
| 9999 | | | | | | | |
| 9999 | | | | | | | |
| 9999 | | | | | | | |

Exhibit F - Match Trip Entitlements Available for Transfer (Assume No Mud Lake Trips)
ITE Trip Generation Rates

East Airfield Environmental Assessment
Project Trip Generation

| Land Use | ITE Code | Trip Generation Rates/Equations ¹ | | PM Pk Hr | | Size | Units | Daily Trips | PM Peak Hour Trips | | |
|--------------------------------------|----------|--|--|----------|-------|------------------|-------|---------------|--------------------|------------|--------------|
| | | Daily | PM Peak Hour | In | Out | | | | Total | Enter | Exit |
| Light Industrial ² | 110 | $T = 7.47 \cdot (X/1000) - 101.92$ | $T = 1.43 \cdot (X/1000) - 163.42$ | 12.0% | 88.0% | 1,256,981 | sf | 9,288 | 1,634 | 196 | 1,438 |
| Manufacturing ² | 140 | $T = 3.88 \cdot (X/1000) - 20.7$ | $T = 0.78 \cdot (X/1000) - 12.89$ | 36.0% | 64.0% | 1,256,981 | sf | 4,856 | 968 | 348 | 620 |
| Warehousing ² | 150 | $T = 3.68 \cdot (X/1000) + 350.27$ | $LN(T) = 0.79 \cdot LN(X/1000) + 0.54$ | 25.0% | 75.0% | 1,256,981 | sf | 4,976 | 482 | 121 | 362 |
| Office ³ | 710 | $LN(T) = 0.77 \cdot LN(X) + 3.65$ | $T = 1.12 \cdot (X) + 78.81$ | 17.0% | 83.0% | 871,764 | sf | 7,068 | 1,055 | 179 | 876 |
| Total Project Trip Generation | | | | | | 4,642,706 | sf | 26,188 | 4,139 | 844 | 3,295 |

¹ Trip generation rates based on ITE Trip Generation, 7th Edition.

² Airport Support District trip generation assumed to be comprised of equal proportions of light industrial, warehouse, manufacturing.

³ Airport Support Area trip generation based on office.

| | |
|--------|--------------------------|
| 26,208 | Trip Entitlements Avail. |
| -20 | Difference |

Significant & Adverse Roadway Segments (2025)
Proportionate Share Summary

| # | Roadway | Segment | # Lanes | Req Impr | Length | Prop Share | w/o E. Airfield Imprvmt Req |
|------|--------------------------|--|---------|----------|--------|------------|-----------------------------|
| 9999 | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Beachline Expressway | Narcoossee Rd to Central FL Greenway | 8LD | 10LD | 2.1 | 23.6% | No |
| 2 | Central Florida Greenway | Beachline Expressway to Lee Vista Blvd | 6LD | 8LD | 1.9 | 15.6% | YES |
| 3 | Central Florida Greenway | Lee Vista Blvd to Curry Ford Rd | 6LD | 8LD | 2.3 | 15.0% | YES |
| 4 | Goldenrod Road | Pershing Ave to Narcoossee Rd | 4LD | 6LD | 1.4 | 12.3% | YES |
| 5 | Innovation Way | Dowden Rd to Beachline Expressway | 4LD | 6LD | 5.9 | 14.9% | YES |
| 6 | Lee Vista Blvd | Conway Rd to Semoran Blvd | 4LD | 6LD | 1.3 | 2.8% | YES |
| 7 | Lee Vista Blvd | Semoran Blvd to Goldenrod Rd | 4LD | 6LD | 1.3 | 3.5% | YES |
| 8 | Michigan St | Crystal Lake Dr to Conway Rd | 2L | 4LD | 0.3 | 1.5% | YES |
| 9 | Narcoossee Road | Lee Vista Blvd to Beachline Expressway | 4LD | 6LD | 1.4 | 10.5% | YES |
| 10 | Narcoossee Road | Beachline Expressway to Dowden Rd | 4LD | 6LD | 1.2 | 23.9% | YES |
| 11 | Narcoossee Road | Dowden Rd to Lake Nona Blvd | 4LD | 6LD | 2.2 | 49.8% | YES |
| 12 | Narcoossee Road | Lake Nona Blvd to Central FL Greenway | 4LD | 6LD | 0.3 | 18.3% | YES |
| 13 | Narcoossee Road | Central FL Greenway to Tyson Rd | 4LD | 6LD | 1.6 | 18.3% | YES |
| 14 | Semoran Boulevard | Pershing Ave to Hoffner Ave | 6LD | 8LD | 1.3 | 32.5% | YES |
| 15 | Semoran Boulevard | Hoffner Ave to Beachline Expressway | 6LD | 8LD | 2.0 | 54.8% | YES |
| 9999 | | | | | | | |
| 9999 | | | | | | | |
| 9999 | | | | | | | |
| 9999 | | | | | | | |
| 9999 | | | | | | | |
| 9999 | | | | | | | |
| 9999 | | | | | | | |